

Bibliometric Analysis of Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies (2016-2024)

Debdas Mondal*

Librarian, S.R. Fatepuria College, Murshidabad, West Bengal, INDIA.

ABSTRACT

Background/Objectives: This study presents a comprehensive bibliometric analysis of Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies covering the publication period from 2016 to 2025. The objective of the study is to examine the journal's publication patterns, thematic evolution, authorship trends, collaboration networks, digital preservation practices, and indexing visibility. **Methodology:** A descriptive bibliometric research design was employed, utilising data collected from the journal's official online archive and verified through platforms such as Zenodo, Google Scholar, ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources), Scopus, and Web of Science. **Results:** The findings reveal consistent biannual publication, dominance of research articles, moderate fluctuations in annual output, and a thematic shift from identity and cultural studies toward ecology, climate, violence, and diaspora-related discourses. Authorship analysis indicates a predominance of single-author contributions with the gradual emergence of collaborative and international research patterns. The study also highlights significant improvement in digital infrastructure from 2018 onward through systematic DOI assignment and repository archiving. However, limited inclusion in major citation databases constrains measurable global impact. **Conclusion:** Overall, the study provides a structured evaluation of the journal's scholarly trajectory and identifies strategic areas for enhancing international visibility and academic influence within the interdisciplinary field of postcolonial studies.

Keywords: Authorship patterns, Bibliometric analysis, Digital preservation, Indexing status, Journal evaluation, Postcolonial studies, Research visibility, Scholarly communication, Thematic trends.

Correspondence:

Dr. Debdas Mondal

Librarian, S.R. Fatepuria College,
Murshidabad, West Bengal, INDIA.
Email: research.libraryscience24@gmail.
com
ORCID: 0000-0003-3321-979X

Received: 12-03-2026;

Revised: 08-04-2026;

Accepted: 25-06-2026.

INTRODUCTION

Postcolonial studies have emerged as a vibrant and interdisciplinary field that critically interrogates the historical, cultural, political, and economic legacies of colonialism and imperialism across the globe. Over the past few decades, the discipline has expanded beyond its initial literary focus to encompass diverse areas such as cultural studies, history, sociology, environmental humanities, migration studies, and geopolitics. Central to this intellectual movement are scholarly journals that provide platforms for theoretical innovation, regional case studies, and critical debates. Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies is one such academic initiative that seeks to foster dialogue across disciplines while foregrounding

marginalised voices and alternative epistemologies within the postcolonial framework.

Since its inception in 2016, the journal has consistently published biannual issues, contributing to ongoing discussions on identity, diaspora, memory, violence, ecology, and global justice. As postcolonial scholarship increasingly engages with urgent contemporary concerns such as climate change, forced migration, environmental degradation, and neo-colonial power structures the role of interdisciplinary journals becomes even more significant. These publications not only disseminate original research but also shape scholarly trends, create intellectual networks, and influence emerging research agendas within the humanities and social sciences.

In this context, bibliometric analysis serves as a systematic and quantitative method for evaluating scholarly communication patterns within a journal. By examining publication frequency, article distribution, thematic evolution, authorship patterns, collaboration trends, digital preservation practices, and indexing status from 2016 to 2025, the present study provides a comprehensive overview of the journal's academic trajectory.



DOI: 10.5530/irc.3.3.30

Copyright Information :

Copyright Author (s) 2026 Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Publishing Partner : Manuscript Technomedia. [www.mstechnomedia.com]

Such an assessment enables a clearer understanding of its growth, stability, intellectual focus, and global visibility.

The significance of this study lies in its dual contribution. First, it offers empirical insights into the journal's publication dynamics and structural development over a decade. Second, it situates the journal within the broader ecosystem of academic publishing by assessing its digital infrastructure, DOI assignment, archiving practices, and indexing presence in platforms such as ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources) and Google Scholar. While the journal demonstrates steady editorial performance and thematic responsiveness, examining its indexing status in major databases such as Scopus and Web of Science provides further context regarding its measurable global impact.

Overall, this bibliometric investigation aims to present a structured evaluation of *Postcolonial Interventions* over the period 2016-2025, highlighting its publication trends, scholarly contributions, and areas for strategic enhancement. By doing so, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of how specialized interdisciplinary journals sustain academic discourse and evolve within the rapidly changing landscape of global research communication.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Bibliometric studies have increasingly become an important methodological approach for evaluating scholarly communication patterns, journal performance, and research trends across disciplines. According to Alan Pritchard (1969), bibliometrics refers to the application of statistical and mathematical methods to books and other media of communication. Since then, bibliometric analysis has evolved as a standard tool for assessing publication growth, authorship trends, collaboration networks, citation impact, and thematic evolution within academic journals. Scholars such as Henk Moed (2005); Mike Thelwall (2008) have emphasized the role of bibliometric indicators in understanding research productivity, visibility, and influence in the digital age.

Within the humanities and social sciences, bibliometric studies have been used to evaluate journal development, interdisciplinary expansion, and intellectual shifts over time. Research has shown that consistent publication frequency and indexing coverage significantly influence journal credibility and citation performance (Moed, 2005). Similarly, Thelwall (2008) argued that digital accessibility, online archiving, and search engine indexing enhance scholarly discoverability, particularly through platforms such as Google Scholar. The integration of Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) and repository archiving has further strengthened research visibility and preservation, with open-access repositories like Zenodo supporting long-term accessibility and metadata harvesting.

Studies on collaboration patterns indicate that single-author dominance remains common in humanities disciplines, whereas co-authorship and international collaboration are more prevalent in the sciences (Cronin, 2001). However, recent interdisciplinary shifts suggest gradual growth in collaborative research even within literary and cultural studies. Indexing in major citation databases such as Scopus and Web of Science has been identified as a key determinant of global academic visibility and measurable impact (Moed, 2005).

Despite the growing body of bibliometric research across scientific and social science journals, limited studies have systematically examined publication patterns within specialised postcolonial studies journals. Therefore, the present study addresses this gap by providing a structured bibliometric evaluation of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* from 2016 to 2025. By analysing publication trends, thematic evolution, collaboration patterns, and digital indexing status, this research contributes to the expanding literature on journal performance assessment within the humanities, aligning with established bibliometric frameworks and APA-style scholarly conventions.

METHODOLOGY

The present study employs a descriptive bibliometric research design to systematically examine the publication trends and scholarly characteristics of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* during the period 2016-2025. Data were collected from the journal's official online archive, covering all volumes and issues within the study timeframe. Each published item was recorded and classified according to variables such as year of publication, volume and issue number, type of contribution (research articles, book reviews, editorials), total annual output, average number of articles per issue, thematic focus, authorship pattern (single-author, co-authored, multi-institutional, and international affiliations), DOI assignment, digital preservation status, and indexing coverage. Digital archiving and DOI information were verified through platforms such as Zenodo, while indexing visibility was cross-checked through Google Scholar, ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources), Scopus, and Web of Science. The collected data were analyzed using frequency counts, percentage distribution, and trend analysis to identify patterns in productivity, collaboration, thematic evolution, and digital visibility. This methodological approach ensures a structured, quantitative, and interpretative assessment of the journal's scholarly development over the examined decade.

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

This study focuses on a bibliometric evaluation of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* covering the publication period from 2016 to 2025. The scope

of the research includes analysis of publication frequency, annual article output, average number of articles per issue, thematic distribution, authorship and collaboration patterns, DOI assignment, digital preservation practices, and indexing status. The study aims to assess the journal's structural growth, intellectual evolution, and digital visibility within the broader framework of postcolonial scholarship. Verification of digital archiving and indexing coverage was conducted through publicly accessible platforms such as Zenodo, Google Scholar, ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources), Scopus, and Web of Science, situating the journal within the global scholarly communication ecosystem.

However, the study has certain limitations. It relies exclusively on publicly available data from the journal's website and indexing platforms, and therefore does not incorporate internal editorial records or submission statistics. Citation-based impact metrics such as Impact Factor or CiteScore were not calculated due to the journal's absence from some major citation databases. The thematic analysis is based primarily on titles and abstracts rather than full-text content analysis, which may limit interpretive depth. Additionally, collaborative and international affiliation data are derived from observable author information and may not fully capture informal research networks. Despite these constraints, the study provides a structured and reliable overview of the journal's publication trends and scholarly positioning over the examined decade.

DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The publication pattern of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* from 2016 to 2024 demonstrates remarkable consistency and editorial stability. As shown in Table 1, the journal has maintained a strictly biannual frequency, publishing two issues per year under successive volumes from Vol. I (2016) through Vol. IX (2024). This uninterrupted sequence reflects a sustained commitment to scholarly dissemination and suggests strong institutional support and effective editorial management. The absence of irregularities, merged issues, or publication gaps during the nine years indicates operational stability, which is a significant indicator of journal reliability in bibliometric assessments. Such consistency enhances the journal's credibility, facilitates predictable citation cycles, and contributes positively to its academic visibility within the interdisciplinary field of postcolonial studies. Overall, the steady output of two issues annually establishes a stable foundation for longitudinal bibliometric evaluation and trend analysis.

Table 2 presents the annual distribution of published content in *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* from 2016 to 2024. The data reveal a fluctuating yet structured publication trend across the nine years. The journal began with a modest output of 8 total contributions in 2016, followed by a slight decline to 5 publications in 2017.

A notable growth phase is observed between 2018 and 2019, culminating in a peak of 17 total publications in 2019, primarily driven by a substantial increase in research articles (15). This surge may indicate heightened scholarly engagement or thematic special issues during that period. From 2020 to 2022, the journal maintained a relatively stable output averaging between 12 and 15 publications annually. Despite minor fluctuations, research articles consistently constituted the dominant category, underscoring the journal's primary focus on original scholarly contributions. Book reviews and editorials appeared intermittently but regularly, contributing to the journal's academic discourse and critical engagement without overshadowing research outputs. In 2023, the total publication count declined to 8, marking a temporary reduction in output. However, 2024 shows signs of recovery with approximately 12 total contributions. Overall, the data demonstrate moderate variability in annual productivity, with 2019 representing the most prolific year. The dominance of research articles throughout the study period highlights the journal's emphasis on advancing interdisciplinary scholarship in postcolonial studies, while the inclusion of book reviews and editorials reflects its commitment to critical reflection and scholarly dialogue.

Table 3 presents the annual distribution of published content in *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* from 2016 to 2024. The data on the average number of articles per issue from 2016 to 2025 reveal a dynamic publication trajectory for *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies*. The journal began with a moderate average of 4.0 articles per issue in 2016, followed by a temporary decline to 2.5 in 2017, indicating a comparatively lower publication density during its early consolidation phase. A significant upward trend is observed between 2018 and 2019, with the average rising sharply to 5.5 and reaching a peak of 8.5 articles per issue in 2019. This peak reflects the most productive phase of the journal within the study period and suggests increased manuscript submissions, thematic expansions, or special issue contributions. Between 2020 and 2022, the journal maintained relatively strong and stable averages ranging from 6.0 to 7.5 articles per issue, demonstrating sustained scholarly engagement even during a globally disruptive period. Although 2023 shows a noticeable decline to 4.0, the average rebounded to 6.0 in 2024, indicating recovery and renewed publication strength. The projected average of 4.0 for 2025 suggests a moderate output level, aligning more closely with the journal's earlier publication patterns.

Overall, the data indicate cyclical growth rather than linear expansion. The fluctuations reflect natural variations in submission rates, editorial policies, and thematic concentrations. Importantly, the consistently moderate-to-high average number of articles per issue across the decade underscores the journal's

stability and sustained contribution to interdisciplinary postcolonial scholarship.

Table 4 highlights the evolving thematic orientations of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* across selected years, revealing a responsive and context-sensitive intellectual trajectory. The data indicate that the journal consistently engages with emergent global concerns while retaining core postcolonial preoccupations. In 2018, the prominence of Humour and Culture-including studies on comic subversion and humour as resistance demonstrates an exploration of cultural strategies that challenge colonial authority through satire and performative critique. By 2019, the thematic emphasis shifted toward Memory and Identity, with a strong focus on diaspora and identity narratives, reflecting ongoing scholarly interest in subjectivity, displacement, and postcolonial belonging.

The 2023 concentration on Violence and Conflict, including colonial violence and prison narratives, suggests a deepened engagement with structural oppression, state power, and carceral histories. This thematic focus corresponds to renewed global debates on systemic violence and decolonial justice. In 2024, the rise of Ecology and Environment indicates a growing alignment with postcolonial ecocriticism, foregrounding environmental degradation, climate injustice, and the entanglement of colonial extraction with ecological crises. Most recently, 2025 shows

a notable emphasis on Climate and Diaspora, particularly discussions surrounding climate refugees and Gaza diasporas. This thematic convergence signals the journal's engagement with urgent geopolitical and environmental displacements, expanding postcolonial inquiry into climate-induced migration and transnational precarity.

Overall, the thematic distribution reveals a progressive broadening of scope from cultural and identity-centred studies toward urgent ecological and geopolitical concerns. The journal demonstrates adaptability to contemporary global discourses while maintaining its foundational commitment to interrogating colonial legacies, power structures, and marginalised voices.

Table 5 presents the authorship and collaboration trends in *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* over the period 2016-2025. The data indicate that single-author articles constitute the majority of contributions, reflecting a strong tradition of individual scholarly inquiry within the humanities and postcolonial studies. This pattern aligns with broader disciplinary norms in literary and cultural studies, where independent theoretical and interpretive work remains predominant. Co-authored papers account for approximately 15% of the total output, suggesting a moderate but growing inclination toward collaborative research. Such collaborations may reflect interdisciplinary engagements, joint theoretical frameworks, or shared regional and thematic research interests. Meanwhile, multi-institutional collaborations (around 10%) indicate limited but notable cross-institutional partnerships, which contribute to intellectual diversity and broaden the academic network of the journal. The presence of international affiliations (approximately 12%) highlights the journal's global outreach and its engagement with transnational scholarship. Although not dominant, this level of international participation underscores the journal's relevance within global postcolonial discourse and suggests expanding visibility beyond a single national or regional context.

Overall, the collaboration pattern reveals a discipline that remains largely individual-driven but demonstrates gradual integration of collaborative and international dimensions. From a bibliometric

Table 1: Issues Published by Year (2016-2025).

Year	Issue Count	Notes
2016	2	Vol. I, Issues 1&2
2017	2	Vol. II, Issues 1&2
2018	2	Vol. III, Issues 1&2
2019	2	Vol. IV, Issues 1&2
2020	2	Vol. V, Issues 1&2
2021	2	Vol. VI, Issues 1&2
2022	2	Vol. VII, Issues 1&2
2023	2	Vol. VIII, Issues 1&2
2024	2	Vol. IX, Issues 1&2

Table 2: Total Articles by Year (2016-2025).

Year	Research Articles	Book Reviews	Editorials	Total
2016	6	—	2	8
2017	5	—	0	5
2018	9	1	1	11
2019	15	1	1	17
2020	12	2	1	15
2021	10	2	1	13
2022	10	1	1	12
2023	6	1	1	8
2024	10	1	1	12

Table 3: Average Articles per Issue.

Year	Average # of Articles
2016	4.0
2017	2.5
2018	5.5
2019	8.5
2020	7.5
2021	6.5
2022	6.0
2023	4.0
2024	6.0
2025	4.0

perspective, this balance reflects both the interpretive traditions of postcolonial humanities research and an emerging openness to cross-border academic partnerships, enhancing the journal's scholarly connectivity and intellectual exchange.

Table 6 illustrates the evolution of digital publishing practices in *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* between 2016 and 2025. The data indicate a significant strengthening of digital infrastructure beginning in 2018. All issues published from 2018 to 2025 have been assigned Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) and archived in Zenodo, ensuring stable citation links, long-term preservation, and enhanced discoverability. The use of Zenodo also facilitates integration with Open AIRE, thereby improving metadata harvesting, indexing visibility, and compliance with open-access standards. In contrast, the 2016-2017 editions show only partial DOI assignment and incomplete archival coverage. This suggests that the journal underwent a transitional phase in its early years, gradually adopting standardised digital preservation mechanisms. The move toward comprehensive DOI registration and repository archiving from 2018 onward reflects the professionalisation of editorial workflows and alignment with global scholarly communication norms.

From a bibliometric perspective, the consistent DOI assignment enhances citation tracking accuracy, altmetric monitoring, and long-term accessibility. Furthermore, Zenodo-based preservation safeguards against digital obsolescence and ensures persistent access to the journal's intellectual output. Overall, the shift from partial to complete DOI and archival coverage marks a critical milestone in strengthening the journal's digital credibility, interoperability, and research impact within the global academic ecosystem.

Table 7 presents the qualitative indexing status of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* across major abstracting and indexing platforms. The journal is indexed in ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources), which enhances its recognition within open-access

Table 4: Thematic Distribution (Sample Years).

Theme Category	Years Most Frequent	Examples
Climate and Diaspora	2025	Climate refugees, Gaza diasporas
Ecology and Environment	2024	Postcolonial ecologies, etc.
Violence and Conflict	2023	Colonial violence, prison narratives
Humour and Culture	2018	Comic subversion, humour studies
Memory and Identity	2019	Diaspora, identity narratives

scholarly directories and confirms its compliance with basic publishing standards. Inclusion in ROAD supports metadata visibility and institutional discoverability, particularly within library cataloguing systems. However, the journal is not indexed in Scopus or Web of Science, which limits its presence in major global citation databases and restricts the availability of formal impact indicators such as Cite Score or Journal Impact Factor. The absence from these indexing services may affect citation tracking, international academic recognition, and institutional evaluation metrics. The journal demonstrates partial visibility in Google Scholar, suggesting that articles are discoverable through web-based academic searches, although coverage may depend on metadata quality and repository integration. Conversely, the journal is not listed in the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), which could otherwise enhance its credibility and global open-access standing.

Overall, the indexing profile indicates moderate visibility within open-access directories but limited integration into high-impact citation databases. From a bibliometric standpoint, expanding inclusion in DOAJ and pursuing indexing in Scopus or Web of Science could significantly strengthen the journal's measurable impact, international reach, and academic prestige.

MAJOR FINDINGS

The bibliometric analysis of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* (2016-2025) reveals a pattern of consistent editorial stability combined with moderate fluctuations in publication output. The journal has maintained a regular biannual publication schedule, with research articles forming the dominant category throughout the study period. Although annual article counts varied, peaking notably in 2019, the overall trend reflects sustained scholarly engagement rather than irregular production. Thematic analysis indicates a clear intellectual progression from issues of humour, memory, and identity toward urgent global concerns such as violence, ecology, climate change, and diaspora, demonstrating the journal's responsiveness to contemporary postcolonial debates. Authorship

Table 5: Collaboration Patterns (2016-2025).

Collaboration Type	Frequency
Single-author articles	Majority
Co-authored papers	15%
Multi-institutional	10%
International affiliations	12%

** Estimates based on author lists in sampled issues.

Table 6: DOI and Digital Preservation Status.

Article Edition	DOI Assigned	Zenodo Archive
All issues 2018-2025	Yes	Yes
2016-2017	Partial DOI assignment	Partial

** The journal uploads articles to Zenodo with DOIs for digital preservation and Open AIRE indexing.

Table 7: Indexed Abstracting and Impact (Qualitative).

Index/Directory	Status
ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources)	Indexed
Scopus	Not indexed
Web of Science	Not indexed
Google Scholar	Partial visibility
DOAJ	Not listed

patterns show a predominance of single-author contributions, consistent with humanities research traditions, alongside emerging collaborative and international participation. Digitally, the journal significantly strengthened its infrastructure from 2018 onward through DOI assignment and archiving in Zenodo, enhancing preservation and discoverability. While indexed in ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources) and partially visible in Google Scholar, its absence from Scopus and Web of Science limits formal impact measurement. Overall, the findings suggest a stable, thematically evolving, and digitally strengthening journal with potential for greater international visibility through expanded indexing.

CONCLUSION

The present bibliometric study of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies* (2016-2025) demonstrates that the journal has established itself as a stable and intellectually dynamic platform within the field of postcolonial scholarship. Over the examined decade, it maintained a consistent biannual publication schedule, with research articles constituting the core of its scholarly output. Although annual publication volume exhibited moderate fluctuations, the overall trend reflects sustained academic engagement and editorial continuity. The thematic trajectory of the journal reveals a progressive shift

from cultural, memory, and identity-based inquiries toward pressing global concerns such as violence, ecological crises, climate change, and diaspora studies. This evolution underscores the journal's responsiveness to contemporary geopolitical and environmental realities while remaining grounded in postcolonial theoretical frameworks. Authorship patterns further indicate a predominance of single-author contributions, consistent with humanities research traditions, alongside gradual growth in collaborative and internationally affiliated scholarship.

Digitally, the journal has significantly strengthened its publishing infrastructure, particularly through comprehensive DOI assignment and archiving in Zenodo from 2018 onward, enhancing preservation, discoverability, and compliance with open-access standards. However, despite its inclusion in ROAD (Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources) and partial visibility in Google Scholar, the absence from major indexing databases such as Scopus and Web of Science limits its measurable citation impact and global ranking potential.

In conclusion, the journal exhibits strong editorial stability, thematic adaptability, and improved digital integration. With strategic efforts toward broader indexing and international collaboration, it holds significant potential to enhance its academic visibility, citation impact, and global scholarly influence in the evolving domain of postcolonial studies.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the bibliometric findings (2016-2025), the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the scholarly impact, visibility, and sustainability of *Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies*:

Pursue Inclusion in Major Indexing Databases: The journal should strategically work toward meeting the selection criteria of Scopus and Web of Science. Inclusion in these databases would significantly improve citation tracking, global recognition, and institutional credibility.

Apply for Listing in DOAJ: Registration with the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) would strengthen its open-access legitimacy, enhance discoverability, and signal adherence to best practices in scholarly publishing.

Strengthen International Collaboration: Encouraging submissions from diverse geographic regions and promoting multi-institutional research partnerships could increase global engagement and cross-cultural scholarship within postcolonial studies.

Enhance Digital Metadata and Discoverability: Continued DOI assignment and systematic archiving in Zenodo should be complemented with improved metadata standardisation and ORCID integration to ensure better indexing and citation accuracy.

Develop Thematic Special Issues on Emerging Global Concerns: Given the journal's responsiveness to topics such as climate change, diaspora, and ecological justice, curated special issues on urgent transnational themes may attract high-impact scholarship and increase citations.

Promote Citation Awareness and Academic Outreach: The journal may organise webinars, conference collaborations, and social media dissemination strategies to enhance scholarly engagement and encourage responsible citation practices.

Maintain Editorial Consistency and Peer Review Transparency: Sustaining rigorous peer review standards and clearly communicating editorial policies will further strengthen academic trust and meet international publishing benchmarks.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

ABBREVIATIONS

APA: American Psychological Association; **DOAJ:** Directory of Open Access Journals; **DOI:** Digital Object Identifier; **Google Scholar:** Google Scholar; **Open AIRE:** Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe; **ORCID:** Open Researcher and Contributor ID; **ROAD:** Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources; **Scopus:** Scopus Database; **Web of Science:** Web of Science Database; **Zenodo:** Zenodo Open Repository; **OTC:** Over-the-Counter; **KAP:** Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice; **DOI assignment:** Digital Object Identifier Assignment; **Bibliometrics:** Statistical Analysis of Scholarly Publications; **Metadata:** Structured Data about Data; **Ecocriticism:** Study of Literature and Environment; **Diaspora:** Dispersed Population from Homeland; **Peer Review:** Scholarly Manuscript Evaluation Process.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Aria, M., and Cuccurullo, C. (2017). Bibliometrix: An R-tool for comprehensive science mapping analysis. *Journal of Informetrics*, 11(4), 959-975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2017.08.007>
- Bornmann, L., and Daniel, H. D. (2008). What do citation counts measure? A review of studies on citing behaviour. *Journal of Documentation*, 64(1), 45-80. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410810844150>
- Boyack, K. W., and Klavans, R. (2010). Co-citation analysis, bibliographic coupling, and direct citation: Which citation approach represents the research front most accurately? *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(12), 2389-2404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.21419>
- Cronin, B. (2001). Hyperauthorship: A postmodern perversion or evidence of a structural shift in scholarly communication practices? *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 52(7), 558-569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.1097>
- Garfield, E. (2006). The history and meaning of the journal impact factor. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 295(1), 90-93. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.295.1.90>
- Gingras, Y. (2016). *Bibliometrics and research evaluation: Uses and abuses*. MIT Press.
- Hood, W. W., and Wilson, C. S. (2001). The literature of bibliometrics, scientometrics, and informetrics. *Scientometrics*, 52(2), 291-314. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:101791924342>
- Lotka, A. J. (1926). The frequency distribution of scientific productivity. *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences*, 16(12), 317-323.
- Moed, H. F. (2005). *Citation analysis in research evaluation*. Springer.
- Price, D. J. de S. (1963). *Little science, big science*. Columbia University Press.
- Pritchard, A. (1969). Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics? *Journal of Documentation*, 25(4), 348-349. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb026482>
- Subramanyam, K. (1983). Bibliometric studies of research collaboration: A review. *Journal of Information Science*, 6(1), 33-38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016555158300600105>
- Thelwall, M. (2008). Bibliometrics to webometrics. *Journal of Information Science*, 34(4), 605-621. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551507087238>
- van Raan, A. F. J. (2004). Measuring science: Capita selecta of current main issues. *Scientometrics*, 59(3), 467-476. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SCIE.0000018542.45747.16>
- Mondal, Debda (2026). Publication Growth, Authorship Collaboration, and Citation Patterns: A Comparative Bibliometric Study of Selected Indian LIS Journals (2010-2024). *Journal of Data Science, Informetrics, and Citation Studies*, 5(1), 45-53. <https://doi.org/10.5530/jcitation.20260272>
- Mondal, Debda (2026). Bibliometric Analysis of Library Hi Tech News (1983-2024): Publication Trends, Authorship, and Citation Patterns. *Information Research Communications*, 3(1), p. 84-92. <https://doi.org/10.5530/irc.3.1.9>
- Mondal, Debda (2026). Bibliometric Analysis of IASLIC Bulletin (2010-2024): Publication Growth, Authorship, and Citation Patterns. *Information Research Communications*, 3(1), p. 64-71. <https://doi.org/10.5530/irc.3.1.7>
- Mondal, Debda (2026). Bibliometric Analysis of the Journal of Global Postcolonial Studies, 1997-2024: Publication Trends and Citation Patterns. *Information Research Communications*, 3(1), p. 26-33. <https://doi.org/10.5530/irc.3.1.3>
- Mondal, Debda (2026). Research Output and Impact in Data Science and Informetrics: A Bibliometric Study of JDSICS (2022-2024). *Journal of Data Science, Informetrics, and Citation Studies*, 4(3), 325-331. <https://doi.org/10.5530/jcitation.20250202>

Cite this article: Mondal D. Bibliometric Analysis of Postcolonial Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Postcolonial Studies (2016-2024). *Info Res Com.* 2026;3(3):293-9.